

ESTIMATING FLOW RATE IN GAUGED AND
UNGAUGED STATION IN KUANTAN RIVER
BASIN USING CLARK METHOD IN HEC-HMS

NURAMIRAH BINTI SHARIF

B. ENG (HONS.) CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Bachelor Degree of Civil Engineering

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : PUAN NADIATUL ADILAH BINTI AHMAD ABDUL GHANI

Position :

Date :



STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.

(Student's Signature)

Full Name : NURAMIRAH BINTI SHARIF

ID Number : AA14043

Date : 11/6/2018

ESTIMATING FLOW RATE IN GAUGED AND UNGAUGED STATION IN
KUANTAN RIVER BASIN USING CLARK METHOD IN HEC-HMS

NURAMIRAH BINTI SHARIF

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This final year project has been a challenging works for me and it is an experienced that I will never forget and can cherish for a life time. Although I faced many problems in doing the project, through my hard work I finally have been able to complete it with some helps from few persons that are without them I will be off from completing it by myself.

First and foremost, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to my final year project supervisor, Puan Nadiatul Adilah Binti Ahmad Abdul Ghani for her endless support and guidance throughout the whole process of doing the project. I am really thankful to her and grateful for her kindness, professionalism and willingness to listen and guided me whenever I faced problems in my works and always manage to provide me with her brilliant advice, ideas and suggestions in order for me to solve the problems.

Secondly, I would like to extend my sincere thanks to the Department of Drainage and Irrigation (Malaysia) for providing me with the data that I needed in order to complete my project. Even though there are several obstacles that I need to face in the process of requesting the data based on problems related to the data such as the availability of the data, but through the professionalism of the department, they manage to provide me with the desire data and enable me to complete my project.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for the support they gave, which is in term of expenses and moral support. Without budget provided by my parents, I shall not be able to complete my works since the expenses included printing and buying material that I need in order to carry out my work. Last but not forgotten, many thanks to all my friends that stand together and give their full cooperation to me along the way in completing this thesis.

ABSTRAK

Anggaran kadar alir dengan menggunakan simulasi hidrologik yang melibatkan model komputer adalah merupakan teknologi yang canggih dan cara ini mampu untuk meningkatkan pemahaman dan memberikan hasil yang lebih dipercayai. Pada masa kini, disebabkan oleh sumbangannya yang tidak dapat dinafikan, ia menjadi alat yang sangat diperlukan untuk mereka bentuk sebarang pendekatan pengurusan air ekologi yang mampan. 'US Army Corps of Engineers' telah mengambil inisiatif untuk membangunkan model yang stabil, HEC-HMS yang boleh digunakan untuk pelbagai simulasi hidrologi. Nilai parameter yang diperlukan untuk menyelesaikan simulasi pada asasnya bergantung kepada kaedah yang dipilih untuk kehilangan, mengubah dan aliran asas. Input data yang boleh dipercayai dan tepat diperlukan untuk memeriksa kesesuaian model untuk kawasan lokasi kajian dan tujuan penyelidikan jika tiada proses calibrate dan validasi. Walau bagaimanapun, dalam kajian ini, parameter model telah diubah dan penentukuran model dilakukan secara berasingan untuk ketiga-tiga kaedah terpilih, 'Soil Conservation Service Curve Number' bagi kaedah kehilangan, 'Constant Monthly' bagi kaedah aliran asas dan Clark Unit Hydrograph bagi kaedah transform untuk menentukan nilai parameter bagi simulasi yang paling sesuai dalam usaha untuk mendapatkan kadar aliran yang paling tinggi di setiap kawasan lembangan di Lembangan Sungai Kuantan. Langkah yang diambil setelah model yang dikalibrasi diperolehi adalah untuk menjalankan proses pengesahan untuk mengesahkan model hidrologi dan memastikan ia boleh digunakan untuk set hujan dan data aliran lain di kawasan itu untuk kejadian ribut hujan yang berlainan. Untuk setiap aliran yang disimulasi untuk proses pengesahan dan penentukuran, indeks Nash-Sutcliffe (NSE) digunakan sebagai kriteria untuk membandingkan hasil antara hidrograf daripada data asal dan simulasi. Model yang boleh diterima mempunyai rangkaian NSE di antara 0.8 hingga 1.0. Lebih dekat nilai NSE kepada 1, semakin tinggi keseragaman hidrograf simulasi dan data asal. Dalam proses penentukuran pertama, nilai NSE yang diperolehi adalah 0.81 untuk kejadian ribut pada 4 September 2010, nilai ini menunjukkan bahawa model itu dilakukan dengan baik dan cukup baik untuk digunakan. Untuk mengesahkan model yang dikalibrasi sebelum ini, proses pengesahan dilakukan dengan menggunakan set data aliran hujan dan aliran data yang berbeza dari proses penentukuran. Peristiwa hujan lebat pada 12 Oktober 2013 telah digunakan dan nilai NSE yang dikeluarkan adalah 0.91. Sebagai kaedah transformasi yang dipilih dalam kajian ini, Clark Unit Hydrograph menyelaraskan aliran dengan jayanya dan nilai parameternya mudah dan senang untuk dipenuhi. Oleh itu kaedah Clark Hydrograph dapat disyorkan sebagai kaedah transformasi terbaik untuk Lembangan Sungai Kuantan dengan 'Soil Conservation Service Curve Number' sebagai kaedah kehilangan. Oleh kerana terdapat banyak sungai yang tidak mempunyai rekod data kadar aliran yang terletak di Lembangan Sungai Kuantan, pendekatan ini boleh digunakan untuk mensimulasikan aliran sungai di kawasan-kawasan lain dengan ciri yang sama dan juga pendekatan penentukuran dan pengesahan yang sama boleh digunakan di bahagian lain kawasan tropika.

ABSTRACT

Estimating flow rate by using hydrologic simulation engaging computer model is at the cutting edge of ways to boost the understanding and provide further reliable outcomes. Nowadays, due to its undeniable contribution, it has become indispensable tools to design any ecologically sustainable water management approaches. US Army Corps of Engineers has taken an initiative to develop a stable and dependent model, HEC-HMS that could be used for many hydrological simulations. The value of parameter needed in order to complete the simulation basically depends on the method chosen for loss, transform and base flow. Reliable and precise data inputs needed to check suitability of the model for the study location area and the purpose of the research if there is no any calibrate and validate process. However, in this study, the model parameters were changed and the model calibration was performed separately for the three selected methods, the Soil Conservation Service Curve Number loss method, the Constant Monthly base flow and the Clark Unit Hydrograph for transform method to determine the most suitable simulation and to obtain the highest peak discharge for every sub basin in Kuantan River Basin. The step taken after obtaining the calibrated model is to run the validation process to validate the hydrologic model and ensure it can be used for other set of rainfall and flow data in that area for different event of rainstorms. For every flow simulated for validation and calibration process, the Nash-Sutcliffe index (NSE) was used as a criterion to compare the outcomes between observed and simulated hydrograph. The acceptable model has a range of NSE in between 0.8 to 1.0. Simply saying, the closer the value of NSE to 1, the higher the similarity of the simulated and observed hydrograph. In the first process of calibration, NSE value obtained is 0.81 for the rainstorm event of 4th September 2010, this value shows that the model is well performed and good enough to be used. In order to validate the calibrated model before, validation process was done using different data set of rainfall and stream flow from calibration process. Rainstorm event of 12th October 2013 was used and the value of NSE produced is 0.91. As the chosen transformation method in this study, Clark Unit Hydrograph simulates the flows successfully and the parameter value is easy and simple to be fulfilled. Therefore, the Clark Unit Hydrograph method could be recommended as the best transformation method for the Kuantan River Basin with the SCS Curve Number as the loss method. As there are plenty of ungauged rivers located in the Kuantan River Basin, this approach can reliably be applied in order to simulate river flows in the other areas with same characteristic and also the same approach of calibration and validation can be applied in other parts of the tropics.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION

TITLE PAGE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS **ii**

ABSTRAK **iii**

ABSTRACT **iv**

TABLE OF CONTENT **v-vi**

LIST OF TABLES **viii**

LIST OF FIGURES **ix-ix**

LIST OF SYMBOLS **x**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS **xii**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION **1-4**

1.1 Background Of Study 1

1.2 Problem Statement 2

1.3 Objective 3

1.4 Scope of Study 3

1.5 The Importance of Study 4

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW **5-10**

2.1 Introduction 5-6

2.2 Hydrological Cycle 6

2.3 Factors Influencing Flood 7

| | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| 2.3.1 | Precipitation | 7 |
| 2.3.2 | Urbanization | 8 |
| 2.3.3 | River Cross Section | 9 |
| 2.4 | River Catchment | 10 |
| 2.5 | Catchment Factors | 10 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | 11-28 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 11 |
| 3.2 | Research Methodology | 12 |
| 3.3 | Flowchart of Methodology | 13 |
| 3.4 | Hydrological Data | 14 |
| 3.4.1 | Rainfall Data | 14-18 |
| 3.4.2 | Streamflow Data | 19 |
| 3.4.3 | Predicted Data and Assumption Data | 19 |
| 3.5 | River Modelling | 20-21 |
| 3.5.1 | Model Setup | 21-22 |
| 3.5.2 | Model Parameter | 23 |
| 3.5.2.1 | Loss Method | 23-25 |
| 3.5.2.2 | Transform Method | 25-27 |
| 3.5.2.3 | Baseflow Method | 28 |
| CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION | | 29-52 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 29 |
| 4.2 | Simulation with HEC-HMS | 30 |
| 4.2.1 | Sensitivity Analysis | 31 |

| | | |
|---|---|--------------|
| 4.2.2 | Model Calibration | 32-36 |
| 4.2.3 | Model Validation | 37-38 |
| 4.3 | Model Result | 39-44 |
| 4.4 | IDF Curve | 45-47 |
| 4.4.1 | Model Result for ARI 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 | 48-50 |
| 4.5 | Discussion | 51-52 |
| CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION | | 53-55 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 53-54 |
| 5.2 | Recommendation | 55 |
| REFERENCES | | 56-59 |
| APPENDIX A RECORDED RAINFALL AND STREAMFLOW DATA FROM 4th SEPTEMBER 2010 to 6th SEPTEMBER 2010 | | 60-62 |
| APPENDIX B RECORDED RAINFALL AND STREAMFLOW DATA FROM 12th OCTOBER 2013 to 13th OCTOBER 2013 | | 63-64 |
| APPENDIX C DESIGN RAINFALL FROM IDF CURVE | | 65-69 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-----------|--|-------|
| Table 3.1 | Data for HEC-HMS and the sources | 22 |
| Table 3.2 | Rainfall station for every sub basin | 23 |
| Table 3.3 | Rainfall stations use in HEC-HMS model | 24-26 |
| Table 3.4 | Streamflow stations use in HEC-HMS model | 27 |
| Table 3.5 | List of CN used in this study | 33 |
| Table 4.1 | Value of parameters in first simulation | 38 |
| Table 4.2 | Value of CN after being modified using Weighted Area Method | 40 |
| Table 4.3 | Value of Tc after being modified using Weighted Area Method | 41 |
| Table 4.4 | Value of R after being modified using Weighted Area Method | 41 |
| Table 4.5 | Summary result for peak flow in every sub basin including junction | 46 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 | Hydrological cycle | 4 |
| Figure 3.1 | Overall processed involved in the research | 19 |
| Figure 3.2 | Thiessen Polygon for Kuantan River Basin | 21 |
| Figure 3.3 | Main process flow of river modelling using HEC-HMS | 26 |
| Figure 3.4 | The steps on how KRB background map were produced | 28 |
| Figure 3.5 | Method selected to be used in HEC-HMS | 29 |
| Figure 3.6 | Parameter that need to be filled up for SCS Curve Number as Loss Method | 30 |
| Figure 3.7 | Averaged CN in sub basin of KRB | 30 |
| Figure 3.8 | The value for parameters that needed for Transform Method | 32 |
| Figure 3.9 | Constant baseflow for Sg Kuantan sub basin | 33 |
| Figure 4.1 | Successfully run simulation | 35 |
| Figure 4.2 | The sequence of the sub basin in Kuantan River Basin | 37 |
| Figure 4.3(a) | Simulated and observed hydrograph after calibration process in Junction 2 | 40 |
| Figure 4.3(b) | Summary result for calibration for Junction 2 | 41 |
| Figure 4.4 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Kuantan | 45 |
| Figure 4.5 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Nada | 45 |
| Figure 4.6 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Caru | 46 |
| Figure 4.7 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Reman | 46 |
| Figure 4.8 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Pancing | 47 |
| Figure 4.9 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Riau | 47 |
| Figure 4.10 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Gading | 48 |
| Figure 4.11 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Pinang | 48 |
| Figure 4.12 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Pandan | 49 |
| Figure 4.13 | Graph of simulated outflow for Sg Belat | 49 |
| Figure 4.14(a) | IDF Curve for Station 3930012(Sg. Lembing PCCL Mill (Sg. Lembing)) | 50 |
| Figure 4.14(b) | IIDF Curve for Station 3931013(Ldg. Nada (Sg. Kuantan)) | 51 |
| Figure 4.14(c) | IDF Curve for Station 3931014(Ldg. Kuala Reman (Sg. Kuantan)) | 51 |

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.14(d) | IDF Curve for Station 3832015 (Rancangan Pam Paya Pinang (Sg. Kuantan) | 52 |
| Figure 4.14(e) | IDF Curve for Station 3731018 (JKR Gambang (Sg. Belat) | 52 |
| Figure 4.15 | Peak flow of the designed ARI 2 in Sg Kenau | 53 |
| Figure 4.16 | Peak flow of the designed ARI 5 in Sg Kenau | 53 |
| Figure 4.17 | Peak flow of the designed ARI 10 in Sg Kenau | 54 |
| Figure 4.18 | Peak flow of the designed ARI 20 in Sg Kenau | 54 |
| Figure 4.19 | Peak flow of the designed ARI 50 in Sg Kenau | 55 |
| Figure 4.20 | Peak flow of the designed ARI 100 in Sg Kenau | 55 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|--------------|---|
| A | Area of catchment involve |
| S | Average slope of that watercourse |
| L | Longest watercourse length in the watershed |
| T_c | Time of concentration |
| R | Storage coefficient |
| CN | Curve Number |
| Q_B | Baseflow |
| A_i | Area for i th land use type |
| CN_i | Curve Number for i th land use type |
| Y_i^{obs} | i th observed data |
| Y_i^{sim} | i th simulated data |
| Y_i^{mean} | Mean of observed data |
| n | Total number of observation data |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------|---|
| KRB | Kuantan River Basin |
| DID | Department Of Irrigation And Drainage |
| HEC-HMS | Hydrologic Engineering Center- Hydrologic Mdelling System |
| GIS | Geographical Information System |
| ARI | Average Recurrence Interval |
| SWM | Southwest monsoon |
| NEM | Northeast monsoon |
| JUPEM | The Department Of Survey And Mapping Malaysia |
| LAT | Latitude |
| LONG | Longitude |
| DEM | Digital Elevation Model |
| SCS CN | Soil Conservation Service Curve Number |
| NSE | Nash-Sutcliffe index |
| WCN | Weighted Curve Number |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Flood events are often catastrophic leads to damages of physical and social life. From the past decades, increasing flood incidences have been observed due to variations in rainfall patterns, change climate condition. One of the main factors for occurring flood is rapid development in urban sector. Malaysia is vulnerable to flood for being its geographic location in the tropical region. The east coast part of Malaysia's flood often experiences flooding during the northeast monsoon season.

Kuantan is one of the flood vulnerable areas of peninsular Malaysia. Kuantan River Basin (KRB) is the important watershed passing through Kuantan. This basin is accountable for bring flood during the wet season by perceiving heavy rainfall fall resulting the spilling over the flow that inundate flood plain or low-laying areas causing intensified damages in terms of commercial, residential properties, roads, infrastructure, irrigation network. However, rapid development in river catchment also be responsible to produce high runoff and worsened river capability. Therefore, leads to increasing flood frequency and magnitude. According to Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia (DID)'s flood reports, 2001/02, 2012/13 were the worst flood. Kuantan is expected to be worst since 1971 due to receive unexpected heavy rainfall, high tide in upstream and downstream (Zaidi et. al, 2014).

1.2 Problem Statement

KRB has been chosen as study area. The important river of Pahang start from Sg. Lembing passing through Kuantan City and drained in to South China Sea. It covers an area of 1630km^2 . The elevation range is from 0 at the mouth of watershed to 1511m in the remotest part of north-west watershed. KBR contains of several main tributaries, which drain the rural, agricultural, urban and industrial areas of Kuantan (Nasir et. al, 2012).

Since the past decades, KRB has history of experiencing the flood due to its tropical climatic condition. Inundation rain brings about spilling over of river surface runoff submerged low laying areas hampered human social and economic life. After 30 years of disastrous flood in 1971, the year 2001/02 experienced havoc flood with magnitude of 3.9 brought by continuous heavy rainfall during the northeast monsoon which hit most of the part of Peninsular Malaysia. Pahang was submerged under water after nearby rivers overflowed affected 18,000 people and $22,940\text{km}^2$ of land (EKA, 2002). Right after 10 years, another worst flood condition in years 2011/12 crippled Kuantan. Sudden flood due to continuous massive rainfall affected relatively 6,000 flood victim reportedly; several roads were badly flooded, and hundreds of vehicles trapped in resulting of the poor drainage system that did not cope hefty rain (Kuala Lumpur Post, 2012). The unforeseen massive flood, recently in 2013 experienced due to prolonged heavy rainfall and land-use change brought serious peril to society, especially to low laying areas in Kuantan. Kuantan was severely afflicted. Around 14,044 people were evacuated and major damages occurred in terms of electricity, road's structure, buildings and belongings thus government suffered with significant financial cost for repairing flood damages (Jamaludin et. al, 2013). Torrential rain caused rise in level of river basin resulting increased outflow discharge.

In order to improvise the situation and prepared for an upcoming abrupt natural catastrophe, modelling system using HEC-HMS is designed to simulate hydrological data and precipitation run-off processes of watershed systems by cause of commonly used in a wide range areas.

1.3 Objective

To ensure this study is successful, two objectives have been determined. Both these objectives works as a guide line so that the outcomes of this study can be easily achieved. The objectives are:

- a) To analyse hydrological data for gauged and ungauged station in Kuantan River Basin
- b) To estimate the flow rate for every sub catchment area in Kuantan River using HEC-HMS

1.4 Scope of Study

The scopes of study have been determined in order to ensure that literature study is focusing on certain fields only. The limitations of this study are listed below:

1. Study area: The study area is focused on Sg Kuantan catchment area.
2. Simulation: The method used is simulating the river using gathered data from local authorities. A river network was established using Google satellite images data and GIS software. The analyses then were carried out using HEC-HMS. The simulating process was conducted to estimate the flow rate at out flow and compare with the stream flow data.
3. Method: Clark Unit Hydrograph was used for Transform Method and a simulation using 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 years of design rainfall (ARI) are done to obtain the peak discharge.

REFERENCES

- Alan H. Strahler, Arthur Strahler (1997) Influence of rainfall and soil properties spatial aggregation on extreme flash flood response modelling: An evaluation based on the Sesia river basin, North Western Italy
- Bajwa, H.S., Tim, U.S.(2002) Toward immersive virtual environments for GIS-based floodplain modeling and visualization. In: Proceedings of 22nd ESRI User Conference, San Diego, TX, USA.
- Chan, N. W.(1997) Increasing flood risk in Malaysia: causes and solutions. *Disaster Prevention and Management*, 6(2), 72-86.
- Chang, C.W.(2009) Application of SCS CN Method in HEC-HMS in Shihmen Watershed Simulation of Rainfall-Runoff Hydrologic Model.
- Cunderlik, J.M., Simonovic, S.P.(2010) Hydrologic models for inverse climate change impact modeling. In: 18th Canadian Hydro-technical Conference, Manitoba, August 2007.
- EKA.(2002).2001 Global Register of Extreme Flood Events. Retrieved 01 May, 2014, http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods/Archives/2001_sum.htm
- Freshwaterinflow.org. (2016)The hydrologic Cycle/ Freshwater Inflows. Available at: <http://www.freshwaterinflow.org/hydrologic-cycle> [Accessed 3 Jun. 2018]
- Györi, M. M. and Haidu, I.(2011)‘Unit hydrograph generation for ungauged subwatersheds. case study: The Monoro??tia river, Arad County, Romania’, *Geographia Technica*, (2), pp. 23–29.

Halwatura, D. and Najim, M. M. M.(2013) ‘Application of the HEC-HMS model for runoff simulation in a tropical catchment’, *Environmental Modelling and Software*. Elsevier Ltd, 46, pp. 155–162. doi: 10.1016/j.envsoft.2013.03.006.

Hilbert, A.(2015). Rainfall Runoff Simulation Using Modified SCS-CN and HEC-HMS Model in Kuantan Watershed (Doctoral dissertation, UMP).

Jamaludin, M. H., S. Jaafar, B. K. Chuah, and Z. Abdullah (2013). FLOOD : Kuantan Town Centre Almost Paralysed, 37,100 Evacuated in 4 States." *New Straits Times*. The New Straits Times Press (Malaysia) Berhad, Last accessed 20 Apr. 2014. <http://www2.nst.com.my/latest/font-color-red-flood-font-kuantan-town-centre-almost-paralysed-37-100-evacuated-in-4-states-1.421108>

Kalita, D. N.(2008)‘A Study of Basin Response using HEC-HMS and Subzone Reports Of CWC’, 13th National Symposium on Hydrology with focal theme on ‘Inflow Forecasting during Extremes’. doi: 10.1007/SpringerReference_28205.

Kuala Lumpur Post.(2012) Kuantan–No Christmas for Residents, Poor Drainage System Blamed. *Kuala Lumpur Post: News on Time*. G-Equity Sdn. Bhd., Last accessed 20 Apr. 2014. <http://www.kualalumpurpost.net/kuantan-no-christmas-for-residents-poor-drainage-system- blamed>

M. F. Mohammad.(2003) Key Challenges in Urban Flood Mangement, Knowlwdge, Experiecnces and Policy, in Malaysia perspective. in Regional workshop on climate change and urban flooding management, UNESCAP.

Mohd. Fauzi Mohamad,(2008). Physical Flood Modelling Evaluation of River Meandering Channel in Tidal Effected Reach (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia).

Mukherjee, D.(2016) ‘Effect of Urbanization on Flood - a Review With Recent Flood in Chennai (India)’, *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*, 5(7), pp. 451–455. Available at: <http://www.ijesrt.com>.

Muthukrishnan, S. and Harbor, J.(2006) ‘Calibration of a simple rainfall-runoff model for long-term hydrological impact evaluation’, *Urissa-Washington Dc-*, 18(2), pp. 35–42. Available at <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download/page=35>

Nandalal, H. K. and Ratnayake, U. R.(2010) ‘Event Based Modeling of a Watershed Using HEC-HMS’, XXXXIII(2).

Nash J.E., Sutcliffe J.V.(1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models: Part 1.A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*.Vol. 10. Iss. 3 p. 282–290.

Nasir, M. F. M., Zali, M. A., Juahir, H., Hussain, H., Zain, S. M., and Ramli, N.(2012) Application of receptor models on water quality data in source apportionment in Kuantan River Basin. *Iranian journal of environmental health science & engineering*, 9(1), 1-12.

Razi, M.A.M., Ariffin, J., Tahir, T., Arish, A.M.,(2010). Flood estimation studies using hydrologic modeling system (HEC-HMS) for Johor River, Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 10, 930e939.

Roy, D. *et al.*(2013) ‘Calibration and Validation of Hec-Hms Model for a River Basin in Eastern India’, *Journal of AEngineering and Applied Sciences*, 8(1), pp. 40–56.

Scharffenberg, W. A.(2013) ‘Hydrologic Modeling System HEC-HMS’, (December), pp. 1–442. doi: CDP-74B.

Siriwardena, L., Finlayson, B. L. and McMahon, T. A.(2006) ‘The impact of land use change on catchment hydrology in large catchments: The Comet River, Central Queensland, Australia’, *Journal of Hydrology*, 326(1–4), pp. 199–214. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.10.030.

Skhakhfa, I. D. and Ouerdachi, L.(2016) ‘Hydrological modelling of Wadi ressoul watershed, Algeria, by HEC-HMS model’, *Journal of Water and Land Development*, 31(1), pp. 139–147. doi: 10.1515/jwld-2016-0045.

Zaidi, S. M., Akbari, A. and Ishak, W. M. F.(2014) ‘A Critical review of Floods History in Kuantan River Basin: Challenges and Potential Solutions’, *International Journal of Civil Engineering & Geo-Environment*

Vishwas S.Kale, Pramodkumar S.Hire.(2002) Effectiveness of monsoons floods on the Tapi River, India: Role of channel geometry and hydrologic regime. Department of Geography, University of Pune, India.

Wijesekara, R.S., Kudahetty, C.(2010) Preliminary groundwater assessment and water quality study in the shallow aquifer system in the Attanagalu Oya Basin. In: Evans, A., Jinapala, K. (Eds.), *Proceedings of National Conference on Water, Food Security and Climate Change in Sri Lanka*. Water Quality, Environment and Climate Change, vol. 2. BMICH, Colombo, Sri Lanka, pp. 77e87.